IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6374 OF 1998

Dilip S. Shinde ....Petitioner
V/s.
State of Maharasthra & Ors. ....Respondents

Mr.M.S. Karnik with Ms.P.P. Tendulkar for the Petitioner.
Mr.P.M. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.
Mr.P.P. Chavan for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : R.M. LODHA, &
S.J. VAZIFDAR, JJ.
DATED : 14TH JULY, 2006.

P.C. :

Mr.M.S. Karnik, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not desirous of prosecuting the writ petition on merits and that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to make representation to the State Government for redressal of his grievance. He further prays that until the decision is taken by the State Government on the petitioner's representation, interim order passed by this court on 30.11.1998 may be ordered to continue.

2. Mr.P.P. Chavan, counsel for the respondent no.2 is not averse to the course suggested by the counsel for the petitioner.

3. Hence the order :-

i). The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

ii). The petitioner may make representation to the State Government for redressal of his grievance within three weeks from today.

iii). We expect the State Government to take decision on such representation expeditiously and preferably within six months from the date of receipt of the representation.

iv). If the representation is made within three weeks from today, we direct that until the decision is taken by the State Government and communicated to the petitioner and for a period of four weeks thereafter, the interim order dated 30.11.1998 shall continue to remain operative.

No costs.

(R.M. LODHA, J.)

(S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.)